

Abstract

## Compliant vascular models 3D printed with Stratasys J750: a direct characterization of model distensibility using intravascular ultrasound

A. J. Sparks<sup>1</sup>, C. M. Smith<sup>1</sup>, A. B. Allman<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Senko<sup>1</sup>, K. M. Meess<sup>1</sup>, R. W. Ducharme<sup>1</sup>, M. E. Springer<sup>1</sup>, M. Waqas<sup>2</sup>, and A. H. Siddiqui<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The Jacobs Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup> Canon Stroke and Vascular Research Center, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, USA

\* Corresponding author, email: asiddiqui@ubns.com

## © 2022 Adnan H. Siddiqui; licensee Infinite Science Publishing

This is an Open Access abstract distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).

The purpose of this study is to evaluate biomechanical accuracy of 3D printed anatomical vessels using a material jetting printer (J750, Stratasys, Rehovot, Israel) by measuring distensibility via intravascular ultrasound. The test samples are 3D printed tubes to simulate arterial vessels (aorta, carotid artery, and coronary artery). Each vessel type is defined by design geometry of the vessel inner diameter and wall thickness. Vessel inner diameters are a 30 mm, carotid = 7 mm, and coronary = 3mm. Vessel wall thickness are aorta = 3mm, carotid = 1.5mm, and coronary = 1mm. Each vessel type was printed in 3 different material options. Material options are user-selected from the J750 printer software graphical user interface as blood vessel wall anatomy elements in 'compliant', 'slightly compliant', and 'rigid' options. Three replicates of each vessel type were printed in each of the three selected material options, for a total of 27 models. The vessels were connected to a flow loop system where pressure was monitored via a pressure wire and cross-sectional area was measured with intravascular ultrasound (IVUS). Distensibility was calculated by comparing the % difference in crosssectional area vs. pulse pressure to clinical literature values. Target clinical ranges for normal and diseased population distensibility are 10.3-44 % for the aorta, 5.1-10.1 % for carotid artery, and 0.5-6 % for coronary artery. Aorta test vessels had the most clinically representative distensibility when printed in user-selected 'compliant' and 'slightly compliant' material. All aorta test vessels of 'compliant' material (n = 3) and 2 of 3 'slightly compliant' vessels evaluated were within target range. Carotid vessels were most clinically represented in distensibility when printed in 'compliant' and 'slightly compliant' material. For carotid test vessels, 2 of 3 'compliant' material samples and 1 of 3 'slightly compliant' material samples were within target range. Coronary arteries were most clinically represented in distensibility when printed in 'slightly compliant' and 'rigid' material. For coronary test vessels, 1 of 3 'slightly compliant' materials and 3 of 3 'rigid' material samples fell within target range. This study suggests that advancements in materials and 3D printing technology introduced with the J750 Digital Anatomy 3D Printer can enable anatomical models with clinically relevant distensibility.

## **AUTHOR'S STATEMENT**

Conflict of interest: Authors state no conflict of interest. Acknowledgments: Acknowledgement to Joe Affronte for providing guidance and instruction on using the IVUS and pressure system. Research funding: This study was partially supported by the Jacobs Institute and the New York Empire State Development Grant.

## REFERENCES

 Sparks, A.J., Smith, C.M., Allman, A.B. et al. Compliant vascular models 3D printed with the Stratasys J750: a direct characterization of model distensibility using intravascular ultrasound. 3D Print Med 7, 28 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41205-021-00114-8